

Proposal Title :	2016 Housekeepin	g LEP - Rec	lassfication from Communi	ity Land to Opera	tional Land
Proposal Sumn		o be rezone	sites from Community Land d. This will address a numb Jus to its needs.		
PP Number :	PP_2017_LAKEM_	001_00	Dop File No :	16/15910	
posal Details	5			1.	
Date Planning Proposal Recei	10-Jan-2017 ved :		LGA covered :	Lake Macqu	arie
Region :	Hunter		RPA :	Lake Macqu	arie City Council
State Electorate	e : LAKE MACQUARIE		Section of the Act ;	55 - Plannin	g Proposal
LEP Type :	Housekeeping				
cation Detai	ls				
Street :	1A Lawson Road				
Suburb :	Macquarie Hills	City :	Lake Macquarie	Postcode :	2285
Land Parcel :	Part lot 42 DP 1132840				
Street :	11B Charles Street		*		
Suburb :	Edgeworth	City :	Lake Macquarie	Postcode :	2285
Land Parcel :	Lots 17 & 18 Sec 3 DP 2 ⁻	149			
Street :	163C & 193C Dudley Roa	ad		9.5	
Suburb :	Whitebridge	City :	Lake Macquarie	Postcode :	2290
_and Parcel :	Lot 2 DP 506536 & Lot 1	DP 372638			
Street :	28 C Thomas Street				
Suburb :	Barnsley	City :	Lake Macquarie	Postcode :	2278
and Parcel :	Lots 25 & 26 Sec H DP 63	1			
Street :	11A Charles Street				
Suburb :	Edgeworth	City :	Lake Macquarie	Postcode :	2285
and Parcel :	Lot 16 Sec 3 DP 2149				
Street :	142 Main Road				
Suburb :	Speers Point	City :	Lake Macqurie	Postcode :	2284
and Parcel :	Lot 13 Sec B DP 4063				
Street :	26 High Street				
Suburb :	Toronto	City :	Lake Macquarie	Postcode :	2283

	14					
Street :	725 Main Road					
Suburb :	Edgeworth	City :	Lake Macquarie	Postcode :	2285	
Land Parcel :	Lot 1 DP 717715					
Street :	0 Pacific Highway					
Suburb :	Pinny Beach	City :	Lake Macquarie	Postcode :	2281	
Land Parcel	Loy 8 DP 791995					
Street :	59A Pacific Higway					
Suburb :	Charlestown	City :	Lake Macquarie	Postcode :	2290	
Land Parcel	Lot 1011 DP 1140442					

DoP Planning Officer Contact Details

Contact Name :	Amy Blakely
Contact Number :	0424904272
Contact Email :	amy.blakely@planning.nsw.gov.au

RPA Contact Details

Contact Name :	Joanne Marshall
Contact Number :	0249210585
Contact Email :	jmarshall@lakemac.nsw.gov.au

DoP Project Manager Contact Details

- Contact Name :
- Contact Number :

Contact Email :

Land Release Data

Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy :	
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			

Have there been No meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :

If Yes, comment :

Supporting notes

Internal Supporting All sites are Council owned. Notes :

External Supporting Notes :

Adequacy Assessment

Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment :

Items 1-4 will reclassify land affected by operational roads, so the land can be dedicated appropriately as a road.

Items 5-9 will reclassify minor sites which should be classified operational.

Item 10 will be reclassified to Operational Land, rezoned from RE1 Public Recreation to R2 Low Density Residential, and have an amended minimum lot size to reflect the surrounding land.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

PP clearly sets out the provisions and changes proposed for each of the 10 separate sites in the proposal.

A number of 'interests' will be required to be discharged. As such, the draft LEP will be required to go to the Executive Counsel to be approved by the Governor.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the	Director General? No
b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones 2.1 Environment Protection Zones
* May need the Director General's agreement	3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land
	 4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 5.10 Implementation of Regional Plans
Is the Director General's agreement required	? No
c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) C	Drder 2006 : Yes
d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?	SEPP No 19—Bushland in Urban Areas SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land

e) List any other matters that need to be considered : The Hunter Regional Plan 2035 was released on 14 October 2016. Consequently, section 117 Direction 5.10 Implementation of Regional Plans applies to the proposal. The planning proposal was completed prior to the Anaurey 2017 changes to the 117 Directions, and therefore requires to be updated to provide justification against Direction 5.10. Given the Planning Proposal was submitted prior to the theau. Direction 5.10. Given the Planning Proposal was submitted prior to these changes. Direction 5.10. Given the Planning Proposal was submitted prior to these changes. Direction 5.10. Given the Planning Proposal was submitted prior to these changes. Direction 5.10. Given the Planning Proposal was submitted prior to these changes. Council should update the planning proposal to consider 5117 Direction 5.10 Implementation of Regional Plans. Council should apdate the planning proposal to consider 5117 Direction 5.10 Implementation of Regional Plans. Council should apdate the planning proposal to consider 5117 Direction 5.10 Implementation of Regional Plans. Council should apdate the strategic Framework section. Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d) Is mapping provided? Yes Comment: Mapping outlines the proposal and proposed changes. Community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation been proposed? Yes Comment: Hi to considered that 22 day exhibition is appropriate for this PP as it involves land owned by Council being reclassified/reconed for an increased development potential. Additional Director General's requirements? No H'Yes, reasons: Proposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation Lake Macquarie Principal LEP commenced 10.10.2014. to Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation Principal LEP: Meed for planning proposal : Meed for planning proposal : Meed for planning in a required to be classified to desition l		
metters that need to be considered : The Hunter Regional Plan 2036 was released on 14 October 2016. Consequently, section 117 Direction 5.10 Implementation of Regional Plans applies to the proposal. The Directions, and therefore requires to be updated to provide justification against Direction 5.10. Given the Planning Proposal was completed prior to the Junce? Solution 2016. Consequently, section Direction 5.10. Given the Planning Proposal was submitted prior to these changes, Direction 5.10. Given the Planning proposal was automative to the truther Regional Direction 5.10. Given the Planning proposal was automative to the sections. Council should update the planning proposal to consider 5117 Direction 5.10 Implementation of Regional Plans. Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes If No, explain: Discussed within the Strategic Framework section. Mapping provided? Yes Comment: Mapping outlines the proposal and proposed changes. Community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has considered that a 28 day exhibition is appropriate for this PP as it involves land owned by Council being reclassified/rezoned for an increased development potential. Additional Director General's requirements Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No If Yes, reasons : Proposal Assessment Frincipal LEP Due Date : Comment is including Lake Macquaric Principal LEP commenced 10.10.2014. Due Date : Comments in relation Proposal Assessment Criteria The PP will rectify a number of minor administrative matters that will reflect the lands current or intended use.	e) List any other	Rezoning of Council Owned Land Guidelines.
117 Direction 5.10 Implementation of Regional Plans applies to the proposal. The planning proposal was completed prior the Juanzy 2017 changes to the 117 Directions, and therefore requires to be updated to provide justification against. Direction 5.10. Given the Planning Proposal was submitted prior to these changes, Direction 5.10. Given the Planning Proposal was automated and the vision, land use strategy, goals, directions and actions of the Hunter Regional Plan 2036 and the Lower Hunter Regional Strategy and is therefore consistent with the Scion 117 Directions. Council should update the planning proposal to consider 5117 Direction 5.10 Implementation of Regional Plans. Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes If No, explain: Discussed within the Strategic Framework section. Mapping provided - 555(2)(c) Is mapping provided? Yes Community consultation - 655(2)(c) Has considered that a 28 day exhibition is appropriate for this PP as it involves land owned by Council being reclassified/reconed for an increased development potential. Additional Director General's requirements Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No If Yes, reasons : Proposal Assessment : Proposal Assessment Criteria Lake Macquarie Principal LEP commenced 10.10.2014. Directions in relation is interation in therefore use. Resessment Criteria Mad for planning proposal : The PP will rectify a numbe	matters that need to	
directions and actions of the Hunter Regional Plan 2038 and the Lower Hunter Regional Strategy and is therefore consistent with the Section 117 Directions. Council should update the planning proposal to consider S117 Direction 5.10 Implementation of Regional Plans. Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes If No, explain : Discussed within the Strategic Framework section. Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d) Is mapping provided? Yes Community consultation - s55(2)(e) Hase community consultation - s55(2)(e) Hase community consultation - s55(2)(e) Hase community consultation been proposed? Yes Comment : It is considered that a 28 day exhibition is appropriate for this PP as it involves land owned by Council being reclassified/rezoned for an increased development potential. Additional Director General's requirements? No If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of the proposal Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes If No, comment : Lake Macquarie Principal LEP commenced 10.10.2014. Dive Date : Comments in relation Lake Macquarie Principal LEP commenced 10.10.2014. Principal LEP : Die Date : Dive Date : Comments in relation Lake Macquarie Principal LEP commenced 10.10.2014. Principal LEP : <	be considered :	117 Direction 5.10 Implementation of Regional Plans applies to the proposal. The planning proposal was completed prior to the January 2017 changes to the 117 Directions, and therefore requires to be updated to provide justification against Direction 5.10. Given the Planning Proposal was submitted prior to these changes,
Implementation of Regional Plans. Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes If No, explain : Discussed within the Strategic Framework section. Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d) Is mapping provided? Yes Comment : Mapping outlines the proposal and proposed changes. Community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation been proposed? Yes Comment : It is considered that a 28 day exhibition is appropriate for this PP as it involves land owned by Council being reclassified/rezoned for an increased development potential. Additional Director General's requirements Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of the proposal Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes If No, comment : Proposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation to Principal LEP : Need for planning Proposal : Need for planning Proposal : The PP will rectify a number of minor administrative matters that will reflect the lands current or intended use. Rems 1-4 are all affected by existing operational before it can be dedicated as a road.		directions and actions of the Hunter Regional Plan 2036 and the Lower Hunter Regional
If No, explain : Discussed within the Strategic Framework section. Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d) Is mapping provided? Yes Comment : Mapping outlines the proposal and proposed changes. Comment : Mapping outlines the proposal and proposed changes. Comment : Mapping outlines the proposal and proposed changes. Comment : It is considered that a 28 day exhibition is appropriate for this PP as it involves land owned by Council being reclassified/rezoned for an increased development potential. Additional Director General's requirements Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of the proposal Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes If No, comment : Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation Lake Macquarie Principal LEP commenced 10.10.2014. to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteria Need for planning The PP will rectify a number of minor administrative matters that will reflect the lands current or intended use. Rems 1-4 are all affected by existing operational roads. Under the Local Government Act 1993 land is required to be classified as Operational before it can be dedicated as a road.		
Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d) Is mapping provided? Yes Comment: Mapping outlines the proposal and proposed changes. Community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation been proposed? Yes Comment: It is considered that a 28 day exhibition is appropriate for this PP as it involves land owned by Council being reclassified/rezoned for an increased development potential. Additional Director General's requirements Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of the proposal Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes If No, comment : Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation Lake Macquarie Principal LEP commenced 10.10.2014. Definicipal LEP : Need for planning proposal : Need for planning proposal : Mead for planning proposal : Items 1-4 are all affected by existing operational roads. Under the Local Government Act 1993 land is required to be classified as Operational before it can be dedicated as a road.	Have inconsistencies w	ith items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes
Is mapping provided? Yes Comment: Mapping outlines the proposal and proposed changes. Comment: It is considered that a 28 day exhibition is appropriate for this PP as it Involves land owned by Council being reclassified/rezoned for an increased development potential. Additional Director General's requirements Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No If Yes, reasons: Overall adequacy of the proposal Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes If No, comment: Proposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date: Comments in relation to Principal LEP: Lake Macquarie Principal LEP commenced 10.10.2014. to Principal LEP: Meed for planning proposal The PP will rectify a number of minor administrative matters that will reflect the lands current or intended use. Items 1-4 are all affected by existing operational before it can be dedicated as a road.	If No, explain :	Discussed within the Strategic Framework section.
Comment: Mapping outlines the proposal and proposed changes. Community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation been proposed? Yes Comment: It is considered that a 28 day exhibition is appropriate for this PP as it involves land owned by Council being reclassified/rezoned for an increased development potential. Additional Director General's requirements Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of the proposal Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes If No, comment : Proposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation Lake Macquarie Principal LEP commenced 10.10.2014. Dericipal LEP: Need for planning The PP will rectify a number of minor administrative matters that will reflect the lands current or intended use. Meed for planning The PP will rectify a number of minor administrative matters that will reflect the lands current or intended use. Items 1-4 are all affected by existing operational roads. Under the Local Government Act 1993 land is required to be classified as Operational before it can be dedicated as a road.	Mapping Provided -	s55(2)(d)
Community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation been proposed? Yes Comment : It is considered that a 28 day exhibition is appropriate for this PP as it involves land owned by Council being reclassified/rezoned for an increased development potential. Additional Director General's requirements Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of the proposal Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes If No, comment : Proposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation Lake Macquarie Principal LEP commenced 10.10.2014. to Principal LEP: Need for planning proposal : The PP will rectify a number of minor administrative matters that will reflect the lands current or intended use. Items 1-4 are all affected by existing operational roads. Under the Local Government Act 1993 land is required to be classified as Operational before it can be dedicated as a road.	Is mapping provided? Y	/es
Has community consultation been proposed? Yes Comment: It is considered that a 28 day exhibition is appropriate for this PP as it involves land owned by Council being reclassified/rezoned for an increased development potential. Additional Director General's requirements Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of the proposal Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes If No, comment : Proposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation Lake Macquarie Principal LEP commenced 10.10.2014. to Principal LEP : Assessment Critteria Need for planning proposal : The PP will rectify a number of minor administrative matters that will reflect the lands current or intended use. Items 1-4 are all affected by existing operational roads. Under the Local Government Act 1993 land is required to be classified as Operational before it can be dedicated as a road.	Comment :	Mapping outlines the proposal and proposed changes.
Comment: It is considered that a 28 day exhibition is appropriate for this PP as it involves land owned by Council being reclassified/rezoned for an increased development potential. Additional Director General's requirements Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of the proposal Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes If No, comment : Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteria Need for planning proposal : The PP will rectify a number of minor administrative matters that will reflect the lands current or intended use. Items 1-4 are all affected by existing operational roads. Under the Local Government Act 1993 land is required to be classified as Operational before it can be dedicated as a road.	Community consult	ation - s55(2)(e)
www.ed.by Council being reclassified/rezoned for an increased development potential. Additional Director General's requirements Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of the proposal Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes If No, comment : Proposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation Lake Macquarie Principal LEP commenced 10.10.2014. to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteria Need for planning The PP will rectify a number of minor administrative matters that will reflect the lands current or intended use. Items 1-4 are all affected by existing operational roads. Under the Local Government Act 1993 land is required to be classified as Operational before it can be dedicated as a road.	Has community consult	ation been proposed? Yes
Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of the proposal Does the proposal meet the adequacy oriteria? Yes If No, comment : Proposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation Lake Macquarie Principal LEP commenced 10.10.2014. to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteria Need for planning proposal : The PP will rectify a number of minor administrative matters that will reflect the lands current or intended use. Items 1-4 are all affected by existing operational roads. Under the Local Government Act 1993 land is required to be classified as Operational before it can be dedicated as a road.	Comment :	
If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of the proposal Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes If No, comment : Proposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation to Principal LEP : Due Date : Comments in relation to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteria Need for planning proposal : The PP will rectify a number of minor administrative matters that will reflect the lands current or intended use. Items 1-4 are all affected by existing operational roads. Under the Local Government Act 1993 land is required to be classified as Operational before it can be dedicated as a road.	Additional Director	General's requirements
Overall adequacy of the proposal Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes If No, comment : Proposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation to Principal LEP : Due Date : Comments in relation LEP : Due Date : Comments in relation Lake Macquarie Principal LEP commenced 10.10.2014. Principal LEP : Assessment Criteria Need for planning proposal : The PP will rectify a number of minor administrative matters that will reflect the lands current or intended use. Items 1-4 are all affected by existing operational roads. Under the Local Government Act 1993 land is required to be classified as Operational before it can be dedicated as a road.	Are there any additional	Director General's requirements? No
Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes If No, comment : Proposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteria Need for planning proposal : The PP will rectify a number of minor administrative matters that will reflect the lands current or intended use. Items 1-4 are all affected by existing operational roads. Under the Local Government Act 1993 land is required to be classified as Operational before it can be dedicated as a road.	If Yes, reasons :	
If No, comment : Proposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteria Need for planning proposal : The PP will rectify a number of minor administrative matters that will reflect the lands current or intended use. Items 1-4 are all affected by existing operational roads. Under the Local Government Act 1993 land is required to be classified as Operational before it can be dedicated as a road.	Overall adequacy of	the proposal
Proposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteria Need for planning proposal : The PP will rectify a number of minor administrative matters that will reflect the lands current or intended use. Items 1-4 are all affected by existing operational roads. Under the Local Government Act 1993 land is required to be classified as Operational before it can be dedicated as a road.	Does the proposal meet	the adequacy criteria? Yes
Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation to Principal LEP : Lake Macquarie Principal LEP commenced 10.10.2014. Assessment Criteria Need for planning proposal : The PP will rectify a number of minor administrative matters that will reflect the lands current or intended use. Items 1-4 are all affected by existing operational roads. Under the Local Government Act 1993 land is required to be classified as Operational before it can be dedicated as a road.	If No, comment :	
Due Date :Comments in relation to Principal LEP :Lake Macquarie Principal LEP commenced 10.10.2014.Assessment CriteriaNeed for planning proposal :The PP will rectify a number of minor administrative matters that will reflect the lands current or intended use.Items 1-4 are all affected by existing operational roads. Under the Local Government Act 1993 land is required to be classified as Operational before it can be dedicated as a road.	Proposal Assessment	
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :Lake Macquarie Principal LEP commenced 10.10.2014.Assessment CriteriaImage: Commence of the planning proposal :The PP will rectify a number of minor administrative matters that will reflect the lands current or intended use.Need for planning proposal :The PP will rectify a number of minor administrative matters that will reflect the lands current or intended use.Items 1-4 are all affected by existing operational roads. Under the Local Government Act 1993 land is required to be classified as Operational before it can be dedicated as a road.	Principal LEP:	
to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteria Need for planning proposal : The PP will rectify a number of minor administrative matters that will reflect the lands current or intended use. Items 1-4 are all affected by existing operational roads. Under the Local Government Act 1993 land is required to be classified as Operational before it can be dedicated as a road.	Due Date :	
Need for planning proposal :The PP will rectify a number of minor administrative matters that will reflect the lands current or intended use.Items 1-4 are all affected by existing operational roads. Under the Local Government Act 1993 land is required to be classified as Operational before it can be dedicated as a road.		Lake Macquarie Principal LEP commenced 10.10.2014.
proposal : current or intended use. Items 1-4 are all affected by existing operational roads. Under the Local Government Act 1993 land is required to be classified as Operational before it can be dedicated as a road.	Assessment Criteria	
1993 land is required to be classified as Operational before it can be dedicated as a road.	· · ·	
Item 5 (Edgeworth) is a 303sqm cleared residue lot from a road dedication. Council's		
		Item 5 (Edgeworth) is a 303sqm cleared residue lot from a road dedication. Council's

•

intention was always to sell the land, however it was not listed as Operational in 1993 when the current Local Government Act came into affect, meaning the land was classified as Community Land by default. Council intend to sell the block of residential land so it can be developed in line with the neighbouring subdivision pattern.

Item 6 (Speers Point) is a 809sqm parcel south of Council's Administration Building. An occupying building has been recently demolished. Council currently owns a lot to the north and two to the south, all of which is classified is Operational. The subject lot is required to be classified as Operational to allow extensions to the Administration Building.

Item 7 (Toronto) was purchased by Council as it adjoins a Council depot. It is currently leased to a neighbouring owner. Council intend to relocate the depot and sell all 3 parcels it owns in this locality. This site requires to be reclassified before it can be sold.

Item 8 (Edgeworth) This 569sqm lot was part of a larger parcel acquired by Council in 1984. Some of the land was required for road dedication, some for a public reserve and two lots were proposed to be sold. The other lot proposed to be sold is classified Operational, yet the subject lot was inappropriate classified as Community Land, and is required to be reclassified before it can be sold.

Item 9 (Pinny Beach) is a small (153sqm) sliver of land between the decommissioned Swansea Quarry and the Pacific Highway. Access to the Quarry was obtained over this land. Council has concluded the lot was created when RMS acquired land for the Pacific Highway upgrade. While the future of the Swansea Quarry land is still being determined, having the access land appropriately classified as Operational will increase future development options.

Item 10 (Charlestown) was originally a Crown Reserve containing a Public Hall in 1947. Part of the land was dedicated as a public road in 1957 for the Pacific Highway. The residue (subject site) was vested to Lake Macquarie in 1976. Council's Community Planning Department has determined that the proximity to the Pacific Highway makes the site undesirable for a pocket park or another community facility. Council wish to reclassify the land to Operational, rezone it from RE1 to R2 Low Density Residential and amend the Minimum Lot Size Map to enable the land to be sold and developed.

Consistency with strategic planning framework :

State Environmental Planning Policies

SEPP 19 - only one site contains any significant bushland vegetation (Item 9, Pinny Beach) and is not identified as having any critical habitat or threatened species. This site is being reclassified as Operational to reflect the current and future use of the site. Although the bushland is unlikely to be considered as having attributes or being a size of significance for this SEPP to apply, further consideration of this SEPP will be required when a future DA is lodged for any future development.

SEPP 55 - Council has considered the requirements of SEPP 55 and advises that this SEPP may be applicable to this PP.

Item 6 (Speers Point) is identified as a contaminated site due to its proximity to the former Pasminco Smelter in the adjoining suburb. This PP is for the reclassification of the site. Amy future development application would require a contamination study once the proposed development is determined.

Item 7 (Toronto) has previously been used as part of the Council depot, temporarily storing vehicles and road base. The land is to retain its industrial zoning, so is unlikely to require remediation.

Item 9 (Pinny Beach) was previously used for vehicle access to the adjoining former quarry. No quarrying was carried out of the site, but any future development application for the site will consider contamination.

The provisions of this SEPP are applicable when the sites are developed rather than reclassified.

Minister's s.117 Directions

1.1 Business and Industrial Zones

The PP is consistent with Direction 1.1 because it retains the existing business and industrial zones where applicable (Items 6, 7 & 8). This LEP will ensure that land within these zones can be better developed.

Item 3 is partly zoned B1 Neighbourhood Centre. The site is proposed to be reclassified for the purpose of formalising the existing road. This is not considered inconsistent with this Direction.

2.1 Environmental Protection Zones

The PP is consistent with the Direction. Item 3 is partly zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. The site is proposed to be reclassified for the purpose of formalising the existing road. This is not considered inconsistent with this Direction.

3.1 Residential Zones

The PP is consistent with this direction as it provides for residential development of a residential lot which is currently underutilised (Item 5).

3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport The PP is consistent with this direction.

4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils

A number of items are identified within the Lake Macquarie Acid Sulfate Soils Maps. While the reclassification of Items 5, 6, 7, 8 is to ultimately result in additional development, issues such as acid sulphate soil will be more appropriately addressed when the development is being assessed and determined.

4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land

Consistency or otherwise with this direction will be determined following consultation with the Mine Subsidence Board.

	4.3 Flood Prone Land 4 Items within the PP are identified as Flood Prone Land. All of the zoning for the items will remain the same, the PP is not considered therefore, to result in intensification. One Flood prone site is an operational road, and the others are infill residential properties. Any future development will be subject to additional requirements at the development application stage.
	4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection Consistency or otherwise with this direction will be determined following consultation with NSW RFS.
	5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies The PP is consistent with this direction.
	5.10 Implementation of Regional Plans The Hunter Regional Plan 2036 was released on 14 October 2016. Consequently, section 117 Direction 5.10 Implementation of Regional Plans applies to the proposal. The planning proposal was completed prior to the January 2017 changes to the 117 Directions, and therefore requires to be updated to provide justification against Direction 5.10. Given the Planning Proposal was submitted prior to these changes, Direction 5.1 should also be considered.
	It is considered that the proposal is consistent with the vision, land use strategy, goals, directions and actions of the Hunter Regional Plan 2036.
	Prior to undertaking public exhibition, Council should update the planning proposal to consider S117 Direction 5.10 Implementation of Regional Plans.
	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements The PP is consistent with this direction.
	6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes The PP is inconsistent with this Direction 6.2 because it reduces the total area of land zoned RE1 Public Recreation.
	It is estimated that around 840sq/m of RE1 Public Recreation zoned land will be rezoned to R2 Low Density Residential. The public recreation land is not well located given its proximity to a busy state road and intersection. For these reasons the loss of RE1 land is considered to be of a minor nature and the inconsistency can be agreed to.
	Local Strategies
	The matters are considered too minor to be referenced in local strategies.
Environmental social economic impacts :	Only one site contains any significant bushland vegetation and is not identified as having any critical habitat or threatened species (Item 9).

Council considers the sale and development of surplus land for housing as being positive.

ssessment Proces	S			
Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	12 months	Delegation :	DDG	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) :	Mine Subsidence Board NSW Rural Fire Service			
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required? No			
(2)(a) Should the matter	r proceed ? Yes			
lf no, provide reasons :				
Resubmission - s56(2)(l	b) : No			
f Yes, reasons :				
dentify any additional si	tudies, if required.			
f Other, provide reason:				
	S :			
dentify any internal con	sultations, if required :			
dentify any internal con No internal consultatio s the provision and func	sultations, if required :	ant to this plan? No		
Identify any internal com No internal consultatio Is the provision and func If Yes, reasons : 	sultations, if required : n required			
Identify any internal con No internal consultatio Is the provision and func f Yes, reasons : uments Document File Name	sultations, if required : n required ding of state infrastructure relev	DocumentType Na		olic
dentify any internal con- No internal consultatio s the provision and func f Yes, reasons : uments Document File Name PLANNING PROPOSAL	sultations, if required : n required ding of state infrastructure relev		ime Is Pul Yes	blic
dentify any internal con- No internal consultatio s the provision and func f Yes, reasons : uments Document File Name PLANNING PROPOSAL Submission.pdf	sultations, if required : n required ding of state infrastructure relev Amended Gateway	DocumentType Na		blic
dentify any internal con- No internal consultations is the provision and func- f Yes, reasons : uments Document File Name PLANNING PROPOSAL Submission.pdf	sultations, if required : n required ding of state infrastructure relev Amended Gateway mendation	DocumentType Na	Yes	blic
dentify any internal con- No internal consultations is the provision and func- f Yes, reasons : uments Document File Name PLANNING PROPOSAL Submission.pdf Ining Team Recommender Preparation of the planning	sultations, if required : n required ding of state infrastructure relev Amended Gateway mendation	DocumentType Na Proposal tage : Recommended with Cond	Yes	blic
dentify any internal con- to internal consultations is the provision and func- f Yes, reasons : uments Document File Name PLANNING PROPOSAL Submission.pdf ning Team Recommender Preparation of the planning	sultations, if required : n required ding of state infrastructure relevant Amended Gateway mendation ing proposal supported at this s 1.1 Business and Industria 2.1 Environment Protection 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use ar 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils	DocumentType Na Proposal tage : Recommended with Cont I Zones I Zones	Yes	blic
dentify any internal con- lo internal consultatio is the provision and func 'Yes, reasons : Juments Document File Name LANNING PROPOSAL Submission.pdf	sultations, if required : n required ding of state infrastructure relevant Amended Gateway mendation ing proposal supported at this s 1.1 Business and Industria 2.1 Environment Protectior 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use ar 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.2 Mine Subsidence and U 4.3 Flood Prone Land	DocumentType Na Proposal tage : Recommended with Cond I Zones I Zones Ind Transport	Yes	blic
dentify any internal con- to internal consultations is the provision and func- f Yes, reasons : uments Document File Name PLANNING PROPOSAL Submission.pdf ning Team Recommender Preparation of the planning	sultations, if required : n required ding of state infrastructure relevant Amended Gateway mendation ing proposal supported at this s 1.1 Business and Industria 2.1 Environment Protection 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use ar 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.2 Mine Subsidence and U 4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire P	DocumentType Na Proposal tage : Recommended with Cond I Zones I Zones Ind Transport Instable Land rotection	Yes	blic
Identify any internal com No internal consultatio Is the provision and func If Yes, reasons : 	sultations, if required : n required ding of state infrastructure relevant Amended Gateway mendation ing proposal supported at this s 1.1 Business and Industria 2.1 Environment Protection 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use ar 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.2 Mine Subsidence and U 4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire P 5.1 Implementation of Regin	DocumentType Na Proposal tage : Recommended with Cond I Zones a Zones ad Transport Instable Land rotection onal Strategies	Yes	blic
Identify any internal com No internal consultatio Is the provision and func If Yes, reasons : Cuments Document File Name PLANNING PROPOSAL Submission.pdf	sultations, if required : n required ding of state infrastructure relevant Amended Gateway mendation ing proposal supported at this s 1.1 Business and Industria 2.1 Environment Protection 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use ar 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.2 Mine Subsidence and U 4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire P	DocumentType Na Proposal tage : Recommended with Cond Zones Document Transport Instable Land rotection onal Strategies Requirements	Yes	blic

Additional Information :	1. Prior to undertaking public exhibition, update the planning proposal to refer to S117 Direction 5.10 Implementation of Regional Plans.
	2. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") as follows:
	(a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for a minimum of 28 days; and
	(b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made
	publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning & Environment 2013).
	3. Consultation is required with the following public authority under section 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act and/or to comply with the requirements of relevant S117 Directions:
	 Subsidence Advisory NSW (s117 Direction 4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land) NSW Rural Fire Service (s117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection)
	The public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material, and given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal. Council should, following receipt of advice from the public authorities, update its consideration of s117 Directions in the planning proposal, as required.
	4. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).
	5. Council should not exercise their delegations under section 59(1) of the EP&A Act, as the planning proposal includes reclassification of public land that may require the Governor to discharge 'public reserve' status, trusts, interests etc from the land.
	6. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 12 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.
Supporting Reasons :	As discussed in the report
Signature:	GPMohhus

1003

×.